

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

STEPHEN P. JURINKO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE MEDICAL PROTECTIVE CO.,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CASE NO. 03-CV-4053

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of July 2009, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Supplemental Attorneys Fees and Statutory Interest [Doc. No. 110], Defendant's Response in opposition [Doc. Nos. 112 & 113], Plaintiffs' Reply [Doc. No. 114], and Defendant's Sur-reply [Doc. No. 120], it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion [Doc. No. 110] is **DENIED**.

Also, upon consideration of Defendant's Amended Motion for Partial Relief from Judgment Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) [Doc. No. 121], Plaintiffs' Response in opposition [Doc. No. 123], and Defendant's Reply [Doc. No. 124], it is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion is **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.